# Justice: Bedside Clinical Ethics' Next Great Challenge

Bruce D. White, DO, JD Professor of Pediatrics and Director Alden March Bioethics Institute Albany Medical College Albany, New York 12208



"The teaching of medical ethics has long focused on a 4pillar foundation of the profession: beneficence (provide good care), nonmaleficence (do no harm), respect for autonomy, and justice. It would appear that in the United States, however, attention to these 4 principles has become unbalanced. Currently, far less emphasis is given to considerations of justice (especially for society as a whole), relative to the other ethical principles.

> —Kirch DG, Vernon DJ. The ethical foundation of American medicine: in search of social justice. [Commentaries.] JAMA. 2009; 301:1482-1484.



## The New York Times

- Janofsky M. Burden grows for Southwest hospitals. 2003;Apr 4.
- Sontag D. Immigrants facing deportation by U.S. hospitals. 2008;Aug 3.
- Berger J. For immigrants, checking to see if the doctor is in. 2008;Oct 12.
- Sontag D. Getting tough: deported in a coma, saved back in the U.S. 2008;Nov 9.



#### The New York Times

"The mounting pressures are causing hospital officials to re-evaluate the services they provide beyond emergency treatment. For example, he [Dr. Paul E. Stander, medical director at Good Samaritan Regional Medical Center, Phoenix] said, doctors could stabilize a patient with symptoms of gallstones, but not necessarily remove them. 'It's an uneasy situation for most of us to be in,' Dr. Stander said. 'As health care professionals, we usually desire to do whatever we can. But it's clear we cannot be the provider of choice for all northern Mexico. It's an impossible burden for us to take on.'"

—Janofsky M. Burden grows for Southwest hospitals. 2003;Apr 4.

Bruce Patsner, MD, JD

Research Professor of Law, Health Law and Policy Institute, University of Houston, Houston, Texas

"If one were searching the US health law arena for a new, controversial battlefront in the ongoing conflict over caring for the uninsured, controlling runaway health care costs, and limiting access to unlimited care under federal and state entitlement programs, it would be more difficult to find a situation more complicated and fraught with negative social intonation than that of repatriation of injured, undocumented immigrants back to their country of origin by US hospitals."

> ---www.law.uh.edu/healthlaw/perspectives/ 2008/(BP)%20deport.pdf

## Key Definitions and Concepts

- Illegal v. legal uninsured immigrant
- Transfer v. repatriation v. deportation
- Voluntary v. involuntary repatriation
- "Dumping" v. transfer
- EMTALA (Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act, in the Consolidated Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1986) and "screening examination," "stabilize," and "transfer"
- Justice, fairness, and rationing



#### Fair

n. ... 6. a. marked by impartiality and honesty : free from self-interest, prejudice, or favoritism <a very fair person to do business with> b. (1) : conforming with the established rules : <u>ALLOWED</u> (2) : consonant with merit or importance : <u>DUE</u> <a fair share> c. : open to legitimate pursuit, attack, or ridicule <*fair* game> ... [Middle English *fager*, fair, from Old English *fager*; akin to Old High German *fager* beautiful.]



#### Ration

n. 1. A fixed portion, especially an amount of food allotted to persons in military service or to civilians in times of scarcity. ... — ration tr.v. –tioned, -tioning, -tions. 1. To supply with rations. 2. To distribute as rations: rationed out flour and sugar. ... 3. To restrict to limited allotments, as during wartime. [French, from Latin ratio, ration, calculation, reason.]



## A.B.

A hypothetical case based on reports

As when reading newspaper articles, one should recall that editors hope to accurately inform others with some facts that are hopefully true and with some that clearly are not.





- 34 year old mother of five who is employed in Phoenix, Arizona, in a carpentry shop; she also does house cleaning part-time
- A native Honduran with no family there
- She came to the US more than 17 years ago as a refugee following a hurricane disaster; a legal US resident (holding a "temporary work visa") with next INS status review scheduled for 2009





- She presented again to the emergency room the following day with abnormal bleeding and contractions; she was admitted for observation
- On April 20 her bag of water broke and she was immediately taken to labor and delivery for an emergency Caesarean section; the baby was 28 weeks premature and removed to the neonatal intensive care unit for further evaluation and care
- Obstetricians had difficulty with her surgery







#### A.B.

- She was cared for in the intensive care unit for several days; she weaned quickly from the ventilator but still required dialysis; her neurological recovery was doubtful
- By the first week of May, she was medically stable and ready to be transferred from the ICU to a long-term acute care hospital
- No local facility would accept the patient



Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS) Conditions of Participation (CoPs)

"If a hospital chooses to transfer a patient to another facility, it must comply with [CMS CoPs] relating to patient discharges. Among these requirements are that the patient be transferred only to an "appropriate facility"; interpretive guidelines suggest such a facility is one "that can meet the patient's medical needs on a post-discharge basis."



#### Arizona Health Care

"St. Joseph's now sends an average of seven uninsured immigrants a month back to their native countries for treatment, often against the wishes of family members, hospital officials say. Before 2000, the hospital rarely transferred any patients out of the country, perhaps only two or three times a year."

> —Kiefer M. St. Joseph' s had sought to send patient home. 2008;May 24.



## A.B.

Her mother C.D. obtained a temporary restraining order from the superior court prohibiting her transfer to a Honduran hospital on May 9, 2008, but was required at a hearing to post a \$20,000 bond by May 14, 2008 (later granted a three day extension to May 17, 2008)
The hospital waived the bond requirement





On May 24, 2008, the hospital announced that it would "allow her to remain at the hospital until she can be transitioned to the kind of long-term care facility she needs"

By that time she was sitting up, speaking, eating, and no longer required dialysis; her baby – still in the NICU – was doing well



## Is this "just"? Is this "fair"?

- The unfunded EMTALA mandate is in reality "funded" by revenue sources already committed for other payments to those who are obliged to render the legally-mandated goods or services.
- Those who bear the obligation include: hospitals (but not a fair distribution of hospitals), physicians (but not a fair distribution of physicians), other patients (via cost-shifting, but not a fair distribution of patients), and taxpayers (but not a fair distribution of taxpayers).







## Probably not, but why?

- There is no portion in the slice for research and development and education.
- It is unclear who might be entitled to a slice of the pie.
- Those contributing ingredients to the pie (all the stakeholders) are not fully known and recognized.



## **Realization 3**

It is impossible to ration or distribute health care goods and services according to Rawls' *A Theory of Justice* (1971).

Does the notion of *ration*—as from the dictionary definition—really apply? "Fixed"? "Scarcity"? "Allotment"? Would global budgeting resolve the unfairness?



## Rawls' A Theory of Justice (1971)

"Rawls' theory of justice, often referred to as social justice, has gained prominence since the 1970s as a dominant theory of justice. This theory has 2 major principles. The first, that 'people should have maximal liberty compatible with the same degree of liberty for everyone,' defines the limits of individual liberty by focusing on the liberty of others. The second, that 'deliberate inequalities [a]re unjust unless they work to the advantage of the least well off,' focuses on social consequence and responsibility of actions. Considering the body of research and news reports that describe inequalities in US health care access and quality, and the fact that these inequalities do not work to the advantage of the least fortunate, it is clear that the US health system does not meet these [Rawls'] criteria for being just."

—Kirch DG, Vernon DJ. The ethical foundation of American Medicine: in search of social justice. [Commentaries.] JAMA. 2009; 301:1482-1484.



So, perhaps the goal should not be to fashion an exacting just or fair system or solution (an ideal system), but rather to craft a more just, a more fair solution than what we presently have?

#### **Realization 4**

Neither ethics, law, nor public policy demands absolute equity but rather pragmatic justice. "Allocation" is better handled by rational ("reasoned") public policy.

And, recall that tinkering with the delivery model to resolve one injustice (e.g., "patient dumping") may create or exacerbate others (e.g., unfunded mandate of EMTALA) which will require additional reforms.

43

Cynics will say we can always do better; and, of course, they' re right. Fairness is a struggle (a continuing dilemma). The important question remains: Are people of good will striving to do what they can? One is left with the realization that individual resolution is complex and that all each must in the end strive for an common ideal, correcting injustices as best can be done when identified, understanding that other injustices may surface and that single individuals by be disadvantaged by circumstances and any public policy (community) distribution schema.



## A.B.

A hypothetical case based on reports

It has been estimated that annual health care expenditures average about \$7900 per person in the United States.